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Clinical Practice guidelines
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## Overview of „CPG“ developed by Professional medical organizations in the CR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Number of professional medical organizations</th>
<th>Total of CPGs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Most CPGs by organization**

- **General Practitioners (367)**
  - Cardiology (81)
  - No data for Oncology

- **General Practitioners (372)**
  - Cardiology (88)
  - No data for Oncology

- **General Practitioners (385)**
  - Cardiology (113)
  - Oncology (306)

- **General Practitioners (407)**
  - Cardiology (113)
  - Oncology (307)

- **General Practitioners (411)**
  - Cardiology (125)
  - Oncology (340)

- **General Practitioners (430)**
  - Cardiology (128)
  - Oncology (342)
Centre for Clinical Practice Guidelines at Faculty of Medicine, Palacký University Olomouc
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Clinical Practice Guidelines

... Today
European JBI symposium of Evidence-Based Healthcare in Clinical Practice Guidelines, Decision making process and Evidence synthesis in the Czech Republic 12. 12. 2018

We cordially invite you to the European JBI symposium of Evidence-Based Healthcare in Clinical Practice Guidelines, Decision making process and Evidence synthesis in the Czech Republic, which will take place on 12-14 Dec 2018 in Brno, Czech Republic.

1st Czech-Slovak meeting – exchange of expert experiences 7. 9. 2018

The first workshop Development of clinical guidelines to be accepted on the national level was held on 7 September 2018 in Prague in the premises of the Czech Ministry of Health.
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CPGs development

A systematic approach!!!
What methods can we use?

- opinion-based guideline
- consensus-based guideline
- trustworthy evidence-based guideline
**EBHC Methods of guidelines development**

- **method No1**
  - development
    - full-process guideline

- **method No2**
  - adoption
    - adapted guideline, derived guideline

- **method No3**
  - adaptation
    - adapted guideline, derived guideline

- **method No4**
  - adolopment
    combining adoption adaptation and development
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What methods are we using?

• opinion-based guideline
• consensus-based guideline
• trustworthy evidence-based guideline
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method No1</th>
<th>Method No2</th>
<th>Method No3</th>
<th>Method No4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Adoption</td>
<td>Adaptation</td>
<td>Adoption + Adaptation + Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- full-process guideline</td>
<td>- adapted guideline, derived guideline</td>
<td>- adapted guideline, derived guideline</td>
<td>combining adoption adaptation and development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What methodology to use?

I have this brand new idea

OR
How to develop CPGs?
How to develop CPGs?
GIN McMaster Checklist

1. Organization, Budget, Planning and Training
2. Priority Setting
3. Guideline Group Membership
4. Establishing Guideline Group Processes
5. Identifying Target Audience and Topic Selection
6. Consumer and Stakeholder Involvement
7. Conflict of Interest Considerations
8. (PICO) Question Generation
9. Considering Importance of Outcomes and Interventions, Values, Preferences and Utilities
10. Deciding what Evidence to Include and Searching for Evidence
11. Summarizing Evidence and Considering Additional Information
12. Judging Quality, Strength or Certainty of a Body of Evidence
13. Developing Recommendations and Determining their Strength
14. Wording of Recommendations and of Considerations of Implementation, Feasibility and Equity
15. Reporting and Peer Review
16. Dissemination and Implementation
17. Evaluation and Use
18. Updating
To be trustworthy evidence informed guidelines will:
• Be ADOPTED, ADAPTED, ADOLOPED (If they use GRADE methods)
• Newly developed following Czech national methodology which is based on the GRADE
• Be informed by well conducted systematic reviews
• Consider the body of evidence for each outcome (including the quality of that evidence) and other factors that influence the process of making recommendations including benefits and harms, values and preferences, resource use and acceptability
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Reason for the need to create a CPG‘s

Current problems of the Czech health system

• Significant heterogeneity of care (territorially, depending on healthcare provider)
• The absence of a unified consistent methodology of creation (CPG)
• Frequent inefficiency of spending money
Project Goals

• To reduce heterogeneity of care (Territorial, healthcare provider dependent)
• To create CPG with a unified standard methodology of their creation - set up standard health care
• Potentially increasing the effectiveness of spent financial resources
• Deepening for / postgraduate education
The aim of the project is to design a standardized system / binding national methodology for creating and updating CPG‘s in the Czech Republic in order to increase the availability, quality and efficiency of health services:

1 - To prepare a draft single binding system for the Ministry of Health for creating, approving, publishing and updating the CPG

2 - Create at least 40 CPGs for areas with the largest defined heterogeneities in the healthcare system

3 - Set the design of the system of education of the persons involved in the creation of CPGs and subsequently using CPGs
Objectives

ESTABLISHMENT OF THE MANAGING AUTHORITIES

KA1

• Expert commissions
• Guarantor committee
• Control committee
• Methods for CPG Proposal of first pilot CPG - 5

DEVELOPMENT OF METHOD FRAMEWORK

KA2

• Methods for CPG creation, assessment and up-date
• Pilot CPG - 5

APPLICATION OF METHOD FRAMEWORK

KA3

• CPG development - 40

IMPLEMENTATION INTO EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

KA4

• National portal for CPG proposals
• e-learning study materials
• Education for CPG creators
KEY ACTIVITY 1
MANAGING AND CONTROL AUTHORITIES FOR CGP DEVELOPMENT AND UP-DATE
0. – 60. month

ESTABLISHMENT OF THE MANAGING AUTHORITIES

• Guarantor committee – management and creation of CPG proposals and their up-date.
• Control committee – control of methodical quality and formal adjustment of developed CPG

Outcomes KA1

✓ Statute and Rules of Procedure of the Guarantee Commission and Control Commission
✓ Appointment of members of the commissions
✓ Methods for creation and assessment of CPG
✓ Proposal of the first 5 pilot CPG
  Topics of first 5 CPG (pilot)
  - CPG for colorectal cancer
  - CPG for chronic lymphatic leukemia
  - CPG for the prevention and treatment of stroke
  - CPG Insulin pumps and glucose monitoring
  - CPG for the prevention and treatment cardiovascular diseases
KEY ACTIVITY 2

DEVELOPMENT OF METHOD FRAMEWORK
0. – 60. month

METHODS FOR CPG DEVELOPMENT, ASSESSMENT AND UP-DATE:
• proposals of new CPG and their approval,
• development of new CPG, their assessment and pilot testing
• assessment of CPG impact on quality of healthcare services and health.

Methods for CPG creation and dissemination.
✓ Nomination of guarantors for CPG development
✓ Development of national guidelines methodology

Development of first 5 pilot guidelines
✓ Evaluation and feedback to the national methodology from pilot guidelines.
KEY ACTIVITY 3

CPG DEVELOPMENT AND DISSEMINATION
12. – 60. month

CPG DEVELOPMENT

- the length of the process of creating and approving each CPG project will take about 10 to 12 months,
- control of formalities, addition of evaluation indicators, acceptance and publishing on the portal then another 1 - 4 months,
- the entire process from designing to publishing the final version on the KDP Portfolio will take up to about 12-16 months.

Development, approval and publication of 40 CPGs.
KEY ACTIVITY 4

COMMUNICATION AND EDUCATION
0. – 60. month

EDUCATION OF INVOLVED EXPERTS

• Training in process of CPG development of members of the project team, especially members of guarantor committee and creators of CPG
• Increase of CPG awareness among the professional healthcare community - and indirectly also the general public

Outcomes KA4

✓ National portal for CPG
✓ e-learning study materials published in National portal for CPG
✓ Training for creators of CPG in process and methods of CPG development
✓ 2 Conferences for target group with foreign keynote speakers
✓ 40 fully supported persons (training in process of CPG development)
Fundamental suggestions (tips and tricks)

- Selection of suitable members of the working team
  (decent English, enough of time, willingness to learn something new, experience with EBM)
  capacity building and offering of methodological workshops
- Specification of the topic, Searching for existing CPGs with GRADE methodology
- Formulation of answerable clinical question using PICO
- Collaboration with methodologists
- Collaboration with information specialist
- Collaboration with foreign colleagues in knowledge sharing
- Combination of templates and software (GRADEpro)
- ....
Always an honest word

Now it’s how I view the world